

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,878	(	08/31/2000	Theodore M. Taylor	4372US (99-1187)	3858
24247	7590	09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
TRASK B	RITT		ELEY, TIMOTHY V		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	3724

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			tak				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/652,878	TAYLOR, THEODORE M.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Timothy V. Eley	3724				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISING BY BENDER OF THE MAILING DAISING BY	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	FION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,11-17,22,23,40-52 and 55-74</u> is	/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,11-16,40-52 and 55-71</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17,22,23 and 72-74</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appli	ication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	, ,,,					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/05	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/652,878 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 55 is hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 17 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Crevasse et al(6,033,293), as applied in the rejection on page 3 of the office action mailed March 17, 2005.
- 4. Claims 17,22,23,72, and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishi et al(5,704,827), as applied in the rejection beginning on page 3 of the office action mailed March 17, 2005.

## Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed June 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - Applicant argues that Crevasse only applies a negative pressure to the backside layer 34 of a polishing pad.
    - o However, air that is drawn through vacuum hose 52 will also produce a negative pressure on the bottom portion of "subpad" 46.
  - Applicant argues that the Office Action clearly indicates that "element 46 functions as a subpad", and that Crevasse, however, clearly explains that element 46 is the top plate, or surface, of

Application/Control Number: 09/652,878

Art Unit: 3724

the platen 40; and applicant requests that the Office apply the disclosure of Crevasse to the claims in a consistent manner.

Page 3

- o Firstly, it should be noted that claim 17 does not positively recite a subpad, but the Crevasse et al device could be used to apply suction to a subpad upon which the polishing pad(30) may be supported thereby.
- o Secondly, claim 74 positively recites a subpad and therefore, element 46 may function as a subpad, as broadly recited by applicant.
- o Thirdly, it should be noted that since claims 17 and 74 are separate independent claims, a particular reference could be applied differently for each claim, so long as the recited structure of each claim is present in the reference.
- Applicant argues that Crevasse does not expressly or inherently describe that the backside layer 34 is configured to support the upper layer 32 without being secured thereto.
  - o It should be noted that since applicant does not positively recite the polishing pad in combination with the apparatus, the Crevasse apparatus meets all the recited structural limitations of the claims.
- Applicant argues that the top plate 46 could not support the polishing pad 30 without being secured thereto.
  - o This is not true. A subpad may be placed between the polishing pad(30) and the top plate 46, since applicant has

Art Unit: 3724

not positively recited the polishing pad in combination with the apparatus.

- Applicant argues that Nishi does not expressly or inherently describe that the polishing cloth 3 thereof moves independently relative to the base member 2 of the cloth cartridge 1 thereof.
  - o However, it should be noted that since applicant does not positively recite the polishing pad in combination with the apparatus, the Nishi apparatus meets all the recited structural limitations of the claims.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1,2,6,11-16,40-52, and 55-71 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3724

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724